

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 29 2005

Patent  
Customer No.: 006980  
Docket No.: MORIAS1

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:	)	Confirmation No. 3794
	)	
VERHOEVEN, G. A. M.	)	Group Art Unit: 3711
	)	
Serial No.: 10/768,396	)	Examiner: Wong, S. B.
	)	
Filed: 30 January 2004	)	
	)	
FOR: PENTOMINO PUZZLE GAME	)	

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Mail Stop AF  
Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Atlanta, GA 30308-2216  
29 December 2005

Sir:

The *Office Action* from Primary Examiner Steven Wong of Art Unit 3711, dated 1 September 2005, marked *Final*, was responded to by Applicant in an 18 November 2005 *Response and Amendment After Final Rejection*.

In an *Advisory Action* dated 5 December 2005, the Examiner would not enter the *Response and Amendment After Final Rejection* as the objected to Claim 7 was not written with "the limitation for the pieces to be 12 in number and be mutually different". The *Advisory Action* notes that Claim 7 remains objected to.

Applicant submits the present *Supplemental Response and Amendment After Final Rejection*, presenting Claim 7 in independent form, with all intervening limitations and the base Claim. Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references neither teach nor suggest the present invention as claimed.

Applicant further submits that the following amendments place the Application in condition for allowance. The amendments *do not* raise new matter issues or raise issues requiring further consideration or searches. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider the pending *Final Office Action* for the following reasons and remarks.